1	KEVIN V. RYAN (CSBN 118321) United States Attorney	*E-filed 12/20/06*
2 3	MARK L. KROTOSKI (CSBN 138549) Chief, Criminal Division	
4 5 6 7 8	SUSAN KNIGHT (CSBN 209013) Assistant United States Attorney 150 Almaden Blvd., Suite 900 San Jose, California 95113 Telephone: (408) 535-5056 FAX: (408) 535-5066 Susan.Knight@usdoj.gov Attorneys for Plaintiff	
10		STATES DISTRICT COURT
11	NORTHERN	DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
12	SA	N JOSE DIVISION
13	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,) No. 06-70677 PVT
14	Plaintiff,	
15	V.) STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED]) ORDER EXCLUDING TIME
16 17 18	RYAN ALEXANDER and CHARLES ALEXANDER, Defendant.	SAN JOSE VENUE
19)
20	On December 14, 2007, the defender	
21		nts in the above-captioned matter made an initial ourt. After the defendants' were informed of the
22 23		ssistant United States Attorney Susan Knight and
24		narles Alexander and made a special appearance on
25		at the arraignment in the case be scheduled for January
26	, 1	the required arraignment date. In addition, Assistant
20 27		plained to the Court that the government needed to
28		ad asked for an exclusion of time under the Speedy Trial
	,	

1	Act for effective preparation of counsel. Accordingly, the parties requested an exclusion of time	
2	under Rule 5 of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure and the Speedy Trial Act from	
3	December 14, 2006 to January 29, 2007. The parties agree and stipulate that an exclusion of	
4	time is appropriate based on the defendant's need for effective preparation of counsel. The	
5	defendants', through Mr. McDougall, agreed to the exclusion.	
6		
7	SO STIPULATED: KEVIN V. RYAN United States Attorney	
8	Office States Attorney	
9	DATED: /s/	
10	SUSAN KNIGHT Assistant United States Attorney	
11	Assistant Onted States Attorney	
12	DATED: /s/ JOSHUA M. BENTLEY	
13	Counsel for Ryan Alexander	
14	DATED: /s/	
15	JONATHAN D. MCDOUGALL Counsel for Charles Alexander	
16		
17		
18	Accordingly, the Court HEREBY ORDERS that the preliminary hearing or arraignment is	
19	scheduled for January 29, 2007 at 11:00 a.m. before the Honorable Judge Trumbull. Good cause	
20	is shown and the continuance is proper under Rule 5 of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure	
21	and 18 U.S.C. § 3060.	
22	For good cause shown, the Court FURTHER ORDERS that time be excluded under the	
23	Speedy Trial Act from December 14, 2006 until January 29, 2007. The Court finds, based on the	
24	aforementioned reasons, that the ends of justice served by granting the requested continuance	
25	outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendants in a speedy trial. The failure to grant	
26	the requested continuance would deny both defense counsel reasonable time necessary for	
27	effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence, and would result in a	
28	miscarriage of justice. The Court therefore concludes that this exclusion of time should be made	

SO ORDERED.

DATED:_

12/20/06

under 18 U.S.C. §§ 3161(h)(8)(A) and (B)(iv). United States Magistrate Judge